# Truffle Startup and Warmup Challenges and Opportunities

Science, Art, Magic: Using and Developing The Graal Compiler at CG0 2021







#### At a Glance



# Shopify engineering scale

- 3 million lines of code
- Average 5 million requests/min
- Shipping, on average, 40 new versions of Shopify each day
- 170k requests/second peak

• All this is running on a core of Ruby on Rails

# Shopify's architecture

- A core Rails monolith
- Fairly conventional architecture
- Serves stores to customers
- Inner-most loop is rendering templates Liquid
- But our templates come from users will talk about in a second

# **Storefront Renderer**

- Storefront Renderer is the critical path extracted from the monolith
- Doesn't use Rails uses the web server interface directly
- More freedom to experiment
- Less dependencies, less code

• It's this application that TruffleRuby is able to run

# TruffleRuby

- Oracle project, with team at Shopify
- One of the original group of Truffle languages, since 2013
- Aims to be drop-in replacement for standard Ruby
- Highly compatible according to Ruby specification tests
- Supports C unmodified extensions through Sulong

# CE / EE and JVM / Native

- I'm showing you CE and JVM
- We're also testing EE and Native

# Work from Oracle

- I'm just showing you what Oracle built here
- And how it applies to Shopify's code

### Goals

- Talk about the current situation with startup and warmup
- Show the impact of options that you may already be aware of on our application
- Show some options and patterns you may not be aware of
- Document, raise awareness, invite more discussion and ideas

# **Current Situation**

Single representation of programs

### Pervasive profiling and caching

- Almost every time we add an optimisation for peak, it adds some interpreter overhead
- Truffle languages generally very aggressive about profiling and caching
- TruffleRuby extremely aggressive it's how it manages to get a 10x speedup

Pervasive profiling and caching

--engine.Profiling=true/false (default true)

# Pervasive profiling and caching



# Does that philosophy work with real applications?

How many methods need profiling and caching?

# A great deal of code only run once

### What problems does it cause?

Compilation queue length

# Up to 4000 queued

What problems does it cause?

Delay for compilation

# Average about 4000ms

# **Basic Configuration Options**

#### How to use them

--engine.CompilationThreshold=n (default 10k)

--engine.Splitting=true/false (default true)

--engine.Inlining=true/false (default true)

# What they do



#### How to use them

- Also see:
  - o --engine.InliningPolicy=TrivialOnly/None/Default
  - --engine.MaximumInlineNodeCount=100(default)
  - --engine.InstrumentBranchesPerInlineSite=1.5(default)
  - --engine.InliningExpansionBudget=5 (default)
  - --engine.InliningInliningBudget=true (default)

#### How to use them

- Also see:
  - --engine.SplittingMaxCalleeSize=100 (default)
  - --engine.SplittingGrowthLimit=1.5 (default)
  - --engine.SplittingMaxPropagationDepth=5(default)
  - --engine.SplittingAllowForcedSplits=true (default)

# **Engine Mode**

# How to use it

--engine.Mode=default(default)

--engine.Mode=latency

--engine.Mode=throughput

# What it does



#### Default and throughput

• Enables splitting and inlining

Latency

• Disables splitting and inlining

That's it!

• The documentation says *default* 'balances between the two' but it's just the same as *throughput* 

### How to use it

```
private boolean detectGemOrBundle() {
   String executable = new File(toExecute).getName();
   if (executable.equals("gem")) {
      // All gem commands seem fine with --engine.Mode=latency.
      return true;
   } else if (executable.equals("bundle") || executable.equals("bundler")) {
      // Exclude 'bundle exec' and aliases as they should run with the default --engine.Mode.
      // Other bundle commands seem fine with --engine.Mode=latency.
      return !contains(arguments, "exec") && !contains(arguments, "exe") && !contains(arguments, "ex") && !contains(arguments, "ex") && !contains(arguments, "ex") && !contains(arguments, "e");
   } else {
      return false;
   }
}
```

# **Multi Tiering**

# How to use it

--engine.MultiTier (default since 3 months ago)

--engine.MultiTier=false

# What it does

- You'll see methods compiles twice in a lower tier and a higher tier
- It may have an impact on your queue see later

# What it does



- Separate lower threshold for first tier
- GraalCompilerDirectives.inFirstTier()
- Compiles invocation profiling into machine code in order to trigger second tier
- Uses EconomyPartialEvaluatorConfiguration which causes a different set of optimisation passes to be configured

# **Compiler Thread Configuration**

### How to use it

- --engine.CompilerThreads=-1 (default since 6 months ago)
- --engine.CompilerThreads=0 (old default, 2 for 4 processors, 1 otherwise)

--engine.CompilerThreads=n (see comment for reference)

# What they do



Threads

Number of processors set from Runtime.getRuntime().availableProcessors(), which is the number of logical processors, or hardware threads.

// compilerThreads = Math.min(availableProcessors / 4 + loglogCPU)

// Produces reasonable values for common core/thread counts (with HotSpot numbers for reference):

11	cores=2	threads=4	compilerThreads=2	(HotSpot=3:	C1=1	C2=2)
11	cores=4	threads=8	compilerThreads=3	(HotSpot=4:	C1=1	C2=3)
11	cores=6	threads=12	compilerThreads=4	(HotSpot=4:	C1=1	C2=3)
11	cores=8	threads=16	compilerThreads=6	(HotSpot=12:	C1=4	C2=8)
11	cores=10	threads=20	compilerThreads=7	(HotSpot=12:	C1=4	C2=8)
11	cores=12	threads=24	compilerThreads=8	(HotSpot=12:	C1=4	C2=8)
11	cores=16	threads=32	compilerThreads=10	(HotSpot=15:	C1=5	C2=10)
11	cores=18	threads=36	compilerThreads=11	(HotSpot=15:	C1=5	C2=10)
11	cores=24	threads=48	compilerThreads=14	(HotSpot=15:	C1=5	C2=10)
11	cores=28	threads=56	compilerThreads=16	(HotSpot=15:	C1=5	C2=10)
//	cores=32	threads=64	compilerThreads=18	(HotSpot=18:	C1=6	C2=12)
11	cores=36	threads=72	compilerThreads=20	(HotSpot=18:	C1 = 6	C2=12)

- Just sets the size of the ThreadPoolExecutor that runs compilation jobs
- Also see:
  - --engine.CompileImmediately=true
  - --engine.BackgroundCompilation=true
  - --engine.CompilerIdleDelay=1000 (default)

# **Graph Caching**

# How to use it

- --engine.EncodedGraphCacheCapacity=0 (added ten months ago)
- --engine.EncodedGraphCacheCapacity=512 (default during development)
- --engine.EncodedGraphCacheCapacity=-1 (unbounded)
- --engine.EncodedGraphCacheCapacity=n

# What it does



- Compiling and inlining will use a cache for graphs
- Note that the cache stores encoded graphs, not decoded graphs
  - still need to decode them each time
  - this is because decoding is part of the partial evaluation phase
  - does this mean the cache doesn't do much on SVM?

# **Queue Priority**

### How to use it

- --engine.PriorityQueue=true (default since 6 months ago)
- --engine.PriorityQueue=false
- --engine.ConfigurableCompilationQueue=false(default)
- --engine.ConfigurableCompilationQueue=true(default)
- Previously could give priority to low or high tiers.

# What it does



```
public int compareTo(CompilationTask that) {
   int tierCompare = priority.tier.compareTo(that.priority.tier);
  if (tierCompare != 0) {
       return tierCompare;
   if (priorityQueueEnabled()) {
       int valueCompare = -1 * Long.compare(priority.value, that.priority.value);
       if (valueCompare != 0) {
          return valueCompare;
   return Long.compare(this.id, that.id);
/**
* We only want priority for the "escape from interpreter" compilations. If multi tier
* enabled, that means *only* first tier compilations, otherwise it means last tier.
*/
private boolean priorityQueueEnabled() {
  return priorityQueue
      && ((multiTier && priority.tier == BackgroundCompileQueue.Priority.Tier.FIRST)
       (!multiTier && priority.tier == BackgroundCompileQueue.Priority.Tier.LAST));
```

# **Opportunities**

# A tier lower than the profiling interpreter?

- Caching and profiling disabled for code that never reaches threshold?
  - Enabled after a lower threshold?
  - Enabled based on heuristics?
  - Enabled based on offline profiling?
- A bytecode that expands into an AST when it reaches a threshold
- A bytecode for everything?
- A hybrid bytecode and AST?

# More intelligent queuing?

- Remove methods that have gone cold since becoming hot?
- Continually sample and prioritise methods that are hot now?
- Use heuristics to prioritise?
- Use offline profiling to prioritise?

# Generally...

- Truffle's philosophy is often 'handle it all at runtime, based on local profiling information'
- But we've got tons of domain knowledge of our languages and applications
- Can we be more aggressive about using this information?
- But there's always corner-cases!

# **Call for Collaboration**

### We can try your ideas for startup and warmup

chris.seaton@shopify.com

We certainly have the largest production Ruby application that runs Truffle, possibly the largest production application that runs on Truffle.